

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

This is an action in contract and tort arising out of Defendant's constructive termination of Plaintiff, breach of an employment contract, and for violation of Plaintiff's civil rights. Not only did Defendant breach the employment contract and the implied covenant of good faith implicit in the contract, Defendant also engaged in unfair business practices and violation of the California's Prohibition of Discrimination, thus causing Plaintiff to incur loss of wages, special and general damages, and attorney's fees. As alleged with greater particularity in the paragraphs below, Plaintiff alleges that she has been significantly harmed by Defendant's conduct and seeks both contractual damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees, as well as for general tort damages against Defendant, including punitive damages.

Fasano Law Office 720 Market Street Penthouse Suite San Francisco, CA 94102-2500 Telephone: (415) 956-8800

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS, DAMAGES, ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF TORTIOUS CONSTRUCTIVE TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT AND OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1343, this being a suit in equity to redress the deprivation of civil rights guaranteed and secured by an Act of Congress, namely Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 3601, et seq., ("Title VIII") which is an Act of Congress providing for the protection of Civil Rights. Jurisdiction is also invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §3612 which specifically confers jurisdiction in this court for actions brought under Title VIII. This court's jurisdiction to hear pendent state claims is also invoked. Venue is proper in this court because the alleged wrong doing occurred in Contra Costa County, California, within the Northern District of California.

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff TERRIE LYNN KENT (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an African-American woman, and is now, and at all times relevant herein been, an employee of Contra Costa County in its Department of Public Health (hereinafter "Department of Health Services") as a Public Guardian.
- 2. Defendant Contra Costa County (hereinafter "CCC"), and Department of Health Services, are government agencies, and are named in this lawsuit in its official capacity.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each DOE defendant was at all times acting in the course and scope of her employment with DEFENDANT
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and hereon alleges that each of Defendants were managerial employees and agents of CCC and were, at all relevant times, acting in the course and scope of their employment with CCC. Consequently, Plaintiff is informed and believes that they are legally responsible for the acts herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 4 as though fully set forth herein
- 6. Plaintiff has been working for CCC for approximately twenty years and is currently employed as a Public Guardian/Conservator. For the past 11 years. Prior to this, she

1 2

3

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

asano Law Office 20 Market Street Penthouse Suite ian Francisco, CA 94102-2500 Felephone: (415) 956-8800

7

8

10

25

27

worked at CCC as a Mental Health Case Manager, and worked continuously thereafter remained in the employment of CCC, and her employment is in the process of being wrongfully constructively terminated by CCC.

- At all times mentioned herein, Defendant CCC was a government entity branch 7. pursuant to the California Government Code, and Plaintiff was entitled to the rights and protections of the Constitution of the United States of America, of the Constitution of the State of California, and by state and federal laws which provide protection against discrimination on the basis of gender, age, and race.
- 8. In 2007, Plaintiff was eligible for, and with DEFENDANT'S knowledge and consent, took a leave of absence from work for personal health reasons created by a hostile work environment at her workplace Conservatorship, Guardianship program at CCC, in which Plaintiff was verbally threatened, yelled at, mistreated, and harassed by a co-worker, a Caucasian woman who is also employed by CCC. Plaintiff has not been released by her doctor to return to workplace. However, DEFENDANT failed to provide her with a hostile-free work environment. Plaintiff'S leave from work for a serious health condition was authorized by CCC, pursuant to the California Family Rights Act (hereinafter "CFRA")
- 9. Plaintiff has filed an Administrative Claim with EEOC and received a Right to Sue letter, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference. In or about January 2008, Plaintiff filed a Governmental Claim with the County of Contra Costa Board of Supervisors, which Plaintiff believes will be denied. A copy of said Claim is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10. CCC's policies and practices provided that when an employee returns from an approved leave, that she will be reinstated to her former position. CCC had a practice and policy of reinstating employees to his/her former position or its equivalent, when an employee returned from an approved leave.
- 11. Plaintiff notified CCC in 2007 that Plaintiff was prepared to return to work, however, Defendant was unwilling or unable to provide Plaintiff with a work environment free of harassment and intimidation by Plaintiff'S co-worker. Since Plaintiff could not return to a

8

11

12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

hostile work environment, she will be constructively terminated if she does not report back to work in March. When Plaintiff insisted that she be either reinstated to her former position in a hostility-free environment, CCC informed Plaintiff that unless she returned to work, her employment would be terminated. As a proximate result of CCC's action, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, lost income and benefits, suffered general and special damages, and incurred attorneys fees according to proof.

## **DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICE**

- 12. Defendants CCC and Public Employee's Union Local One were informed by Plaintiff that Defendant Debra Tyler treated Plaintiff in an extremely hostile manner, and that Plaintiff felt physically threatened by Tyler, and that she needed to take a medical leave of absence due to that hostility, and that she needed to have a non-hostile work environment.
- 13. CCC failed and refused to provide Plaintiff with a non-hostile work environment and allowed said hostile work environment to continue. Plaintiff is the only African American woman that works in the capacity of Defendant in her office, and neither the woman who mistreated Plaintiff nor her supervisors are African American.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

14. Defendants' failure to properly supervise, and to allow by their acts and omissions the mistreatment of Plaintiff by Health Services, the Mental Health Division, is a practice that is both illegal and discriminatory, which, as set forth hereinafter, violates both state and federal laws, and which will cause Plaintiff irreparable harm, thereby making injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff appropriate. Defendants' refusal to provide a non-hostile work environment where Plaintiff feels free of being emotionally and physically attacked is illegal and will cause Plaintiff irreparable harm. Plaintiff requests that Defendants CCC and Public Employee's Union Local One be ordered to provide Plaintiff with a non-hostile work environment.

#### RESULTS OF DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES

- 15. Defendants discriminating practices have caused the following results:
- Plaintiff, an African American woman, has been placed in great fear and a. apprehension at her place of employment;

enthouse Suite

one: (415) 956-8800

19

28

- Plaintiff has incurred loss of income due to Defendants' refusal to provide a nonb. hostile work environment:
- Plaintiff has incurred emotional stress due to her mistreatment at work and loss of c. income;
- d. Plaintiff has incurred attorneys' fees herein.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Violation of Title VIII of the Federal Civil Rights Act)

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 15 as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. Plaintiff has been denied by Defendants aforementioned acts, the same rights to employment on account of her race, gender, and age as non-African American employees. The Defendants' aforementioned acts violate 42 U.S.C. §1983 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, age, and gender.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as more fully set forth hereinbelow...

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act)

#### **Pendent Jurisdiction**

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiff invokes the courts power pursuant to Rule 18 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to join a claimed violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code §§51, 51.2, with the First Cause of Action of this complaint, and to exercise pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims set forth hereinafter. The Unruh Act, which prohibits landlords from discriminating against tenants based upon their disability and/or handicap is merely a separate ground for the same course of action in that it derives from the same nucleus of operative set of facts as the Federal claims. These law claims bear questions of Federal law and policy. It is therefore in the interests of judicial economy as well as fairness to the parties, to litigate the Title VIII and state law claims in a single lawsuit.

Penthouse Suite

14

15

13

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

20. The implementation by Defendants of the above described employment practices
and refusal to provide Plaintiff a non-hostile work environment constitutes a violation of the
Unruh Civil Rights Act, and for the reasons stated above, under the Federal Claim, has aggrieved
and injured Plaintiff. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to utilize the above described
discriminatory employment practice and complete their wrongful termination of Plaintiff, and
Plaintiff will have no adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as more fully set forth hereinbelow.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## (California Fair Employment and Housing Act)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth herein
- 22. California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code, sections 12955 et seq., prohibits discrimination against employees based upon their race, age, and gender. For the reasons set forth hereinabove, Defendants have violated the Fair Employment and Housing Act, and injured Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as more fully set forth hereinbelow.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## [Breach of Contract for Employment Against Defendant Contra Costa County]

- 23. PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 10 as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. PLAINTIFF and CCC entered into an employment Agreement whereby PLAINTIFF agreed to become a CCC employee. Under the terms of this Agreement, CCC agreed to, among other things, compensate PLAINTIFF at a base salary, plus expenses, including health benefits for PLAINTIFF, and retirement benefits, and as a result of Defendant's practice, policies and procedures, agreed not to terminate Plaintiff unless there was good cause to do so.
- 25. PLAINTIFF performed all conditions, covenants and promises required of her in accordance with the terms and conditions of his Agreement with CCC.
  - 26. CCC, despite the Agreement with PLAINTIFF, and wrongfully constructively

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

27. As a proximate result of CCC's breach of said Agreement, PLAINTIFF sustained damages, including lost wages and employment benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment as more fully set forth hereinbelow.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# [Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Against Defendant Contra Costa County]

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 27 as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. The Agreement referred to above, contained an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, which obligated CCC and its agents and employees, to perform the terms and conditions of the Agreement fairly and in good faith and to refrain from doing any act that would prevent or impede Plaintiff from performing any or all of the conditions of the Agreement that Plaintiff agreed to perform or any act that would deprive Plaintiff of the benefits of the Agreement.
- 30. Plaintiff performed, or remained ready to perform, all the terms and conditions of the Agreement with CCC.
- 31. CCC, through its agents and managerial employees, was aware that Plaintiff had fulfilled, or remained ready to fulfill, all her duties and conditions under the Agreement contract.
- 32. CCC, through its agents and managerial employees breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing of the Agreement by entering into the Agreement with Plaintiff and then failing and refusing to honor the Agreement, including, but not limited to, failing and refusing to allow Plaintiff to return to her former position, or equivalent position, when Plaintiff was ready to return to his position with CCC, and constructively terminated Plaintiff'S employment.
- 33. At the time Plaintiff took a CFRA's approved leave of absence from CCC, she was earning in excess of sixty two thousand dollars (\$62,000.00) per year, plus benefits.
  - 34. As a proximate cause of CCC's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair

6

12

14

16

20

2627

28

asano Law Office 20 Market Street

n Francisco, CA 94102-2500

e: (415) 956-8800

dealing, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, losses in earning and other employment benefits, all to his damage in amounts to be established at trial. As a further and proximate cause of CCC's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff has incurred reasonable attorney's fees in attempting to secure the benefits owed to Plaintiff under the Agreement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as more fully set forth hereinbelow.

### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## [Violation of California Family Medical Leave Act

## **Against Defendant Contra Costa County**]

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 34 as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. As set forth in the paragraphs above, CCC was an employer who at all times herein was an employer obliged to comply with CFRA, and Plaintiff was an eligible employee entitled to the protection and privileges created by the CFRA. He has filed a timely administrative claim with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, and been issued a right to sue letter.
- 37. CCC violated the CFRA when: (1) it failed and refused to posses a safe work environment to which Plaintiff could return; (2) when it refused and failed to stop the hostile mistreatment of Plaintiff by her co-worker, which effectively constructively terminated Plaintiff'S employment with CCC.
- 38. As a proximate cause of CCC'S conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, losses in earning and other employment benefits, all to his damage in amounts to be established at trial. As a further and proximate cause of CCC's conduct, Plaintiff has incurred reasonable attorney's fees to which CCC is required to pay.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as more fully set forth herein below.

#### **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## [Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy]

39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the Paragraphs 1 through 38, as

7

10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

though fully set forth herein.

- 40. The State of California has an important and fundamental public policy of protecting the rights and continuing employment of its citizen who as a result of serious physical injury or illness are required to take a leave of absence from work, and to be able to work for the government in a safe work environment, which is also free of discrimination.. This public policy is set forth in the CFRA and California's laws which prohibit discrimination by employers based on race, and California's Constitution.
- 41. CCC violated this fundamental public policy of the State of California when as set forth hereinbefore, it constructively terminated Plaintiff and refused and failed to provide a safe work environment when Plaintiff was able to return to work, and constructively terminated Plaintiff'S employment with CCC.
- 42. The primary and motivating factors for CCC in terminating Plaintiff from her employment were the fact that Defendant CCC failed and refused to accept the version of events that Plaintiff, an African-American woman, told her superiors occurred.
- 43. CCC's actions in constructively terminating Plaintiff from his employment were wrongful and malicious in nature and was in direct violation of a fundamental policy of the State of California, and therefore Plaintiff has been wrongfully terminated and is entitled to damages therefor.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of CCC's actions as alleged herein, and, in particular, CCC's termination of Plaintiff in violation of the Public Policy of California, Plaintiff has been injured and damaged as follows:
- a) Loss of past and future wages, earnings, benefits and other forms of benefits, compensation and value that he would not have been lost if he had remained employed by the Defendant;
- Extreme and severe emotional distress, suffering, humiliation, anxiety, b) worry, embarrassment, and interruption of family life, reputation, defamation of character;

111

Gase 3:08-cv-00984-EDL

8

6

28

c)	Plaintiff has or will incur medical or health related expenses pertaining to
psychological coun	seling, other medical or health care support for the stress, suffering
embarrassment, dis	comfort, anxiety and humiliation that he suffered.

- 45. Plaintiff has been further damaged as a result of his wrongful termination in that she has and will incur costs and expenses of this litigation.
  - 46. Plaintiff is entitled to prejudgment interest on any and all damages.
- 47. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein recklessly, wantonly, willfully, maliciously, fraudulently, oppressively and despicably with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff and with an improper and evil motive. Plaintiff is thus entitled to recover exemplary and punitive damages from the Defendants in an amount according to proof.
- 48. As a result of the Defendants' actions herein, the Plaintiff was required to and did retain the services of an attorney. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable and necessary attorney's fees.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff TERRIE LYNN KENT prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- For damages for breach of contract according to proof, including lost earnings and 1. other employment benefits, past and future;
- For compensatory damages according to proof, including lost earnings and other 2. employment benefits, costs for seeking other employment, and damages for emotional distress, humiliation and mental anguish;
  - 3. For interest on lost earnings and benefits at the prevailing legal rate;
  - 4. For punitive damages against Defendants; Health Services Department, Mental Health Division, Public Employee's Union Local One, Debra Tyler
  - 5. For restitution of all lost benefits and money;
  - 6. For reasonable attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff;
  - 7. For costs of suit incurred by Plaintiff; and

8. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: February <u>15</u>, 2008 FASANO LAW OFFICE

Lawrence W. Fasano, Jr.
Attorney for Plaintiff
TERRIE KENT

S:\Client Data\Kent, Terrie\pleadings\COMPLAINT.wpd

-11-

# **EXHIBIT "A"**

Attachment "A"
Right to Sue letter, Claim W. DFEH, Notice to Claimant



U.S. Departme

of Justice

Civil Rights Division
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE
WITHIN 90 DAYS

CERTIFIED MAIL 5069 2469

Ms. Terrie L. Kent P.O. Box 20255 El Sobrante, CA 94820 950 Pennsylvanta Avenue, N.W. Karen Ferguson, EMP, PHB, Room 4239 Washington, DC 20530

November 20, 2007

Dear Ms. Kent:

Because you filed the above charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Commission has determined that it will not be able to investigate and conciliate that charge within that general charge and the date the Commission assumed jurisdiction over the charge and the Department has determined that it will not file any specifically requested this Notice, you are hereby notified that you have the right to institute a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., against the above-named respondent.

If you choose to commence a civil action, such suit must be filed in the appropriate Court within 90 days of your receipt of this Notice. If you cannot afford or are unable to retain an attorney to represent you, the Court may, at its discretion, assist you in obtaining an attorney. If you plan to ask the Court to help you find an attorney, you must make this request of the Court in the form and manner it requires. Your request to the Court should be made well before the end of the time period mentioned above. A request for representation does not relieve you of the obligation to file suit within this 90-day period.

This Notice should not be taken to mean that the Department of Justice has made a judgment as to whether or not your case is meritorious.

Sincerely,

Rena J. Comisac

Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

Þу

Karen L. Ferguson

Supervisory Civil Rights Analyst Employment Litigation Section

cc: Oakland Local Office, EEOC Contra Costa County

## \*\*\*\* U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION\*\*\*\*

## CHECKLIST DATA INOFRMATION REQUIRED TO PROCESS

A NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE

Attn: Joyce A. Hendy, Director

Please note if you request a Notice of Right to sue, no investigation will be done.

## 1) LETTER REQUESTING (NRTS)

In a letter <u>requesting for a Notice of Right to Sue</u>, please include the following: (Please state that you are requesting for a Notice of Right to Sue)

A) CHARGING PARTY (NAME) Terrie Kent

Job Title: Mental Health Treatment Specialist II/Deputy Public Guardian

Status: On Leave of Absence/Disability

Race: African American

Sex: Female
Age: 46

National Origin: African American

Address: c/o Fasano Law Office 720 Market St., 10th Floor

City: San Francisco

State: <u>CA.</u> Zip Code: 94102

Day Phone Number: (510) 367-3179

Evening Phone Number: (510) 707-422-7072

**Date** of Birth: 8/22/61

Supervisor's name and job title Al Flanagan, Conservatorship Program Supervisor CONTACT PERSON

Name & address of a person to contact when you cannot be reached. Give complete

Name: Fasano Law Office

Address: 720 Market St., 10th Floor

City: San Francisco

State: CA.

**Zip Code:** 94102

Day Phone Number: (415) 956-8800

### 2) RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Name of Company: Contra Costa County

(Or where you applied)

HR Director/CEO: Lori Gentles, Director Human Resources

Address: 651 Pine Street, 3rd Floor

City: Martinez
State: CA.

Zip Code: 94553-1262

#### Day Phone Number: (925) 335-1766 for Lori Gentles

(Include both facility where person worked and address where charge to be served)

Document 1

Name of Company: Public Employees Union, Local One

(Or where you applied)

HR Director/CEO: Bill Schlant - Senior Business Agent HR/Director/CEO: Rollie Katz - Supervising Business Agent

Address: P.O. Box 6783

City: Concord State: CA.

Zip Code: 94524-1783

Day Phone Number: (925) 228-1600

## CORPORATE OFFICE: N/A Government Position HR Director/CEO: Lori Gentles, Human Resources

Address: 651 Pine Street, 3rd Floor

City: Martinez State: CA.

Zip Code: 94553-1292

Day Phone Number: (925) 335-1766

*Number* of employee's working for the company (approximate)

15-100 employees

100-200 employees

200-300 employees

300-500 employees

500 plus employees X

What is the nature of the business, manufacturing, trucking, warehousing, painting etc...

Public Services, Health Department

**NOTICE:** Should you fail to include any of the above information, your Notice of Right to Sue cannot be processed. Please include a day time phone number where you can be reached for additional information.

#### 3) STATEMENT

On a separate piece of paper, provide a statement of particulars including date of Key event (application, training, denial of promotion, layoff, discharge). See attached

Please indicate in your statement if your employment rights have been violated because of your race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability or because of retaliation.

(This statement must be signed and dated under penalty of perjury).

EEOC CHARGE # 555-2008-00096

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and correct.

10-26-2007

Terrie Kent

A Charge Statement is not necessary if you have provided the Commission with a copy of your Department of Fair Employment and Housing (Complaint of Discrimination). This Complaint of Discrimination must be resigned and dated. We need original

TERRIE KENT P.O. Box 20255 El Sobrante, CA. 94820 Cell (510) 367-3179

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2007

EEOC - OLO

10/02/2007

I have been on a leave of absence (LOA) since 04/09/2007 to present due to Contra Costa County and Local 1 failing to resolve a grievance filed by myself and other colleagues. The work environment continues to be hostile, intimidating, unsafe and dangerous, and the union discrimination against me refusing to process my grievance. My grievance is ongoing and the discrimination against me continues to be demonstrated by the company's refusal to provide a safe work environment free of harassment by a non African American co-worker, and the union is refusing to process my grievance.

As a result of my continued pursuit to eliminate discrimination, harassment and retaliation in the work place, I have been singled out and maliciously persecuted by management. Local 1 has failed to provide fair and adequate representation not only for myself but other colleagues; who have also felt the ferocious stings of management/union inadequacies to address the hostile circumstances which continue in the work place. The following explain the chain of events that have culminated and prevented my safe return.

- A) On 02/26/2007 a group grievance was filed against management for failing to provide an environment that is free of disruption, hostile, provocative, disrespectful, verbal abuse and unpredictable explosive behavior displayed by one individual in the work place.
- B) Since the filing of grievance this individual's behavior has considerably escalated to a point of fear for my personal safety and the safety of others.
- C) On 03/08/2007 I was informed by my supervisor that it had been alleged by a colleague that I had misrepresented the truth. (This is the same colleague that is continually causing fear, chaos and disruption in the workplace). This allegation led to an investigation by management.
- D) As a result of the investigation on 03/21/2007 management implemented a

EEOC CHARGE # 555-2008-00096

#### Professional

Development Action Plan for all employees. The plan focuses on improving professional interpersonal relationships. The plan does not address the real issues which are this persons unpredictable, provocative, hostile, non-directable behavior.

- E) On 07/11/2007 For the step three grievance process, Local 1 met with myself and another colleague and three management representatives to discuss and present our concerns.
- F) On 07/20/2007 Management presented their decision for the step three grievance process which they denied any violation of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).
- G) On 08/08/2007 The union quoted a violation in compliance with the (MOU) section 25. Therefore, requesting to proceeding to step 4 grievance process.
- H) Management continues to refuse to discipline or otherwise curtail the harassment of me by a non-African American female.
- I) I am unable as a result of the action of my union and the company to secure a step 4 grievance hearing.

I declare under penalty that the above statements are true and correct.

Dated: October 2007

Terrie Kent

RECEIVED

DCT 29 2007

EEOC - OLO

## **EXHIBIT "B"**

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY INSTRUCTIONS TO CLAIMANT

- A. A claim relating to a cause of action for death or for injury to person or to personal property or growing crops shall be presented not later than six months after the accrual of the cause of action. A claim relating to any other cause of action shall be presented not later than one year after the accrual of the cause of action.

  (Gov. Code § 911.2.)
- B. Claims must be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at its office in Room 106, County administration Building, 651 Pine Street, Martinez, CA 94553.
- C. If claim is against a district governed by the Board of Supervisors, rather than the County, the name of the District should be filled in.
- D. If the claim is against more than one public entity, separate claims must be filed against each public entity.
- E. <u>Fraud.</u> See penalty for fraudulent claims, Penal Code Sec. 72 at the end of this form.

RE: Claim By:		Reserved for Clerk's filing stamp
	)	
Terrie Kent		
	)	
	)	
Against the County of Contra Costa or	)	
	)	
Department of Mental Health	District)	
(Fill in the name)	)	
	)	
Contra Costa County Health Services Department	)	

The undersigned claimant hereby makes claim against the County of Contra Costa or the above-named district in the sum of \$\sum over 25,000\$ and in support of this claim represents as follows:

- 1. When did the damage or injury occur? (Give exact date and hour)
  February 2006 October 2007
- 2. Where did the damage or injury occur? (Include city and county)
  See Attachments "A" + "B"
- 3. How did the damage or injury occur? (Give Full details; use extra paper if required)

  See Attachments "A" + "B"
- 4. What particular act or omission on the part of county or district officers, servants, or employees caused the injury or damage:

Negligent supervision, breach of contract, intentional misconduct, hostile work environment; discrimination, retaliation and other violations of state laws. See Attachments "A" + "B"

5. What are the names of county or district officers, servants, or employee causing the damage or injury?

Donna Wigand, Mental Health Director; Victor Montoya, Adult/Older Program Chief; Ednah B. Fiedman, Program Manager; Albert Flanagan, Probate Supervisor and Debra Tyler, Co-Worker. See Attachments "A"  What damage or injuries do your claim resulted? (Give full extent of injuries or damages claimed. Attach two estimates for auto damage.)

Loss of earnings over \$25,000, general damages, loss of benefits, and retirement.

7. How was the amount claimed above computed? (Include the estimated amount of any prospective injury or damage.)

Loss of monthly savings to date alone is an excess of \$60,000.

8. Names and addresses of witnesses, doctors, and hospitals:

All of claimants treatment was at Kaiser, Vallejo

9. List the expenditures you made on account of this accident or injury:

I have incurred wage loss to date of over \$60,000, which do not include future wage loss and benefits.

SEND NOTICES TO: (Attorney)  Name and address of Attorney  Lawrence W. Fasano Jr., (SB# 80017)  FASANO LAW OFFICE  720 Market Street, Penthouse Suite  San Francisco, California 94102 - 2500	) Gov. Code Sec. 910.2 provides "The Claim shall be ) signed by the claimant or by some person on his ) behalf." ) ) (Claimant's Signature) ) c/o Fasano Law Office, San Francisco, CA. 94102 ) (Address)
Telephone No. <u>(415) 956-8800</u> Facsimile No. <u>(415) 956-8811</u>	) Telephone No. <u>(415) 956-8800</u>

#### **PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE:**

Please be advised that this claim form, or ay claim filed with the County under the Tort Claims Act, is subject to public disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § § 6500 et seq.) Furthermore, any attachments, addendums, or supplements attached to the claim form, including medical records, are also subject to public disclosure.

#### **NOTICE:**

Section 72 of the Penal Code provides:

Every person who, with intent to defraud, presents for allowance or for payment to any state board or officer, or to any county, city, or district board or officer, authorized to allow or pay the same if genuine, any false or fraudulent claim, bill, account voucher, or writing, is punishable either by imprisonment in the County jail for period of not more than one year, by a fine of not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), or by both such imprisonment and fine, or by imprisonment in the state prison, by a fine of not exceeding ten thousand dollars (10,000), or by both such imprisonment and fine.

## Terrie Kent's Attachment to Claim Form

Filed 02/15/2008

Lawrence W. Fasano, Jr. (SB #80017) FASANO LAW OFFICE 720 Market Stree, Penthouse Suite San Francisco, California 94102 - 2500

Telephone: (415) 956-8800 Facsimile: (415) 956-8811

Attorneys for Claimant:

Terrie Kent

Attachment "A" Right to Sue letter, Claim W. DFEH, Notice to Claimant



U.S. Departme of Justice

Civil Rights Division
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE
WITHIN 90 DAYS



CERTIFIED MAIL 5069 2469

950 Pennsylvanta Avenue, N.W. Karen Perguson, EMP, PHB, Room 4239 Washington, DC 20530

Ms. Terrie L. Kent P.O. Box 20255 El Sobrante, CA 94820

November 20, 2007

Re: EEOC Charge Against Contra Costa County
No. 555200800096

Dear Ms. Kent:

Because you filed the above charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Commission has determined that it will not be able to investigate and conciliate that charge within 180 days of the date the Commission assumed jurisdiction over the charge and the Department has determined that it will not file any lawsuit(s) based thereon within that time, and because you have specifically requested this Notice, you are hereby notified that you have the right to institute a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., against the above-named respondent.

If you choose to commence a civil action, such suit must be filed in the appropriate Court within 90 days of your receipt of this Notice. If you cannot afford or are unable to retain an attorney to represent you, the Court may, at its discretion, assist you in obtaining an attorney. If you plan to ask the Court to help you find an attorney, you must make this request of the Court in the form and manner it requires. Your request to the Court should be made well before the end of the time period mentioned above. A request for representation does not relieve you of the obligation to file suit within this 90-day period.

This Notice should not be taken to mean that the Department of Justice has made a judgment as to whether or not your case is meritorious.

Sincerely,

Rena J. Comisac

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

by

Karen L. Ferguson

Supervisory Civil Rights Analyst Employment Litigation Section

cc: Oakland Local Office, EEOC Contra Costa County

## \*\*\*\* U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION\*\*\*\* CHECKLIST DATA INOFRMATION REQUIRED TO PROCESS

A NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE

Attn: Joyce A. Hendy, Director

Please note if you request a Notice of Right to sue, no investigation will be done.

1) LETTER REQUESTING (NRTS)

In a letter <u>requesting for a Notice of Right to Sue</u>, please include the following: (Please state that you are requesting for a Notice of Right to Sue)

A) CHARGING PARTY (NAME) Terrie Kent

Job Title: Mental Health Treatment Specialist II/Deputy Public Guardian

Status: On Leave of Absence/Disability

Race: African American

Sex: Female Age: 46

National Origin: African American

Address: c/o Fasano Law Office 720 Market St., 10th Floor

City: San Francisco

State: CA.

**Zip Code:** 94102

Day Phone Number: (510) 367-3179

Evening Phone Number: (510) 707-422-7072

*Date* of Birth: 8/22/61

Supervisor's name and job title Al Flanagan. Conservatorship Program Supervisor CONTACT PERSON

Name & address of a person to contact when you cannot be reached. Give complete

Name: Fasano Law Office

Address: 720 Market St., 10th Floor

City: San Francisco

State: CA.

Zip Code: 94102

Day Phone Number: (415) 956-8800

RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Name of Company: Contra Costa County

(Or where you applied)

HR Director/CEO: Lori Gentles, Director Human Resources

Address: 651 Pine Street, 3rd Floor

City: Martinez State: CA.

Zip Code: 94553-1262

(Include both facility where person worked and address where charge to be served)

Name of Company: Public Employees Union, Local One

(Or where you applied)

<u>HR</u> Director/CEO: <u>Bill Schlant - Senior Business Agent</u> <u>HR</u>/Director/CEO: <u>Rollie Katz - Supervising Business Agent</u>

Address: P.O. Box 6783

City: Concord
State: CA.

Zip Code: 94524-1783

Day Phone Number: (925) 228-1600

CORPORATE OFFICE: N/A Government Position

HR Director/CEO: Lori Gentles, Human Resources

Address: 651 Pine Street, 3rd Floor

City: Martinez
State: CA.

Zip Code: 94553-1292

Day Phone Number: (925) 335-1766

<u>Number</u> of employee's working for the company (approximate)

15-100 employees

100-200 employees

<u> 200-300 employees </u>

300-500 employees

500 plus employees X

What is the nature of the business, manufacturing, trucking, warehousing, painting etc...

Public Services, Health Department

**NOTICE:** Should you fail to include any of the above information, your Notice of Right to Sue cannot be processed. Please include a day time phone number where you can be reached for additional information.

#### 3) STATEMENT

On a separate piece of paper, provide a <u>statement of particulars</u> including date of Key event (application, training, denial of promotion, layoff, discharge). See attached

Please indicate in your statement if your employment rights have been violated because of your race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability or because of retaliation.

(This statement must be signed and dated under penalty of perjury).

EECC CHARGE # 555-2008-00096

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and correct.

10-26-2007

Signature

A Charge Statement is not necessary if you have provided the Commission with a copy of your Department of Fair Employment and Housing (Complaint of Discrimination). This Complaint of Discrimination must be resigned and dated. We need original

TERRIE KENT P.O. Box 20255 El Sobrante, CA. 94820 Cell (510) 367-3179

RECEIVED

DCT 29 2007

EEOC - OLO

.10/02/2007

I have been on a leave of absence (LOA) since 04/09/2007 to present due to Contra Costa County and Local 1 failing to resolve a grievance filed by myself and other colleagues. The work environment continues to be hostile, intimidating, unsafe and dangerous, and the union discrimination against me refusing to process my grievance. My grievance is ongoing and the discrimination against me continues to be demonstrated by the company's refusal to provide a safe work environment free of harassment by a non African American co-worker, and the union is refusing to process my grievance.

As a result of my continued pursuit to eliminate discrimination, harassment and retaliation in the work place, I have been singled out and maliciously persecuted by management. Local 1 has failed to provide fair and adequate representation not only for myself but other colleagues; who have also felt the ferocious stings of management/union inadequacies to address the hostile circumstances which continue in the work place. The following explain the chain of events that have culminated and prevented my safe return.

- A) On 02/26/2007 a group grievance was filed against management for failing to provide an environment that is free of disruption, hostile, provocative, disrespectful, verbal abuse and unpredictable explosive behavior displayed by one individual in the work place.
- B) Since the filing of grievance this individual's behavior has considerably escalated to a point of fear for my personal safety and the safety of others.
- C) On 03/08/2007 I was informed by my supervisor that it had been alleged by a colleague that I had misrepresented the truth. (This is the same colleague that is continually causing fear, chaos and disruption in the workplace). This allegation led to an investigation by management.
- D) As a result of the investigation on 03/21/2007 management implemented a

EEOC CHARGE # 555-2008-00096

#### Professional

Development Action Plan for all employees. The plan focuses on improving professional interpersonal relationships. The plan does not address the real issues which are this persons unpredictable, provocative, hostile, non-directable behavior.

- E) On 07/11/2007 For the step three grievance process, Local 1 met with myself and another colleague and three management representatives to discuss and present our concerns.
- F) On 07/20/2007 Management presented their decision for the step three grievance process which they denied any violation of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).
- G) On 08/08/2007 The union quoted a violation in compliance with the (MOU) section 25. Therefore, requesting to proceeding to step 4 grievance process.
- H) Management continues to refuse to discipline or otherwise curtail the harassment of me by a non-African American female.
- I) I am unable as a result of the action of my union and the company to secure a step 4 grievance hearing.

I declare under penalty that the above statements are true and correct.

Dated: October 2007

RECEIVED

DCT 29 2007

EEOC - OLO

#### Attachment "B"

The county was negligent in it hiring, supervision and retention of Debra Tyler; failing to correct a hostile work environment; breach of contract; and discrimination. Claimant complained to her supervisors about the work environment created by D. Tyler and did not receive a resolution which allowed her to return to work, and defendant is expected to terminate claimants employment.

Filed 02/15/2008



S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

the civil docket sheet (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)  I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	DEFENDANTS					
Terrie Kent					Contra Costa County, Department of Public Health Services, Debra Tyler, Jeff Smith, in his official capacity, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Contra Costa (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Contra Costa (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nan	ne, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Kn	own)		_			
Lawrence W. Fasano, Jr., Esq. FASANO LAW OFFICE				E-filing						
720 Market Street, Penthouse Suite San Francisco, CA 94102-2500 Telephone: (415) 956-8800			)	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$	DI.	A	<b>N</b> -			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. C	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Pa		ot a Party)	С	itizen of This State	PTF X 1	DEF	Incorporated or Princip		DEF 4	
U.S. Government		f Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 [		<u> </u>	2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 of Business In Another State		<u> </u>		
			С	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	y)								
CONTRACT	T(	ORTS		FORFEITURE/PI	ENALTY	Е	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STA	TUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		610 Agriculture 620 Other Food &	Dena		Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal	400 State Reappor	tionment	
130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Inju Med. Malpra		625 Drug Related		1423	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Ba	nking	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Inju	лу —	of Property 2	21 USC 881			450 Commerce	•	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liab		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck		PRO	PERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Inf	luenced and	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs.	•		Copyrights	Corrupt Orga		
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational			Patent Trademark	480 Consumer Cro		
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	Safety/Health	ı	1040	Tradelliark	490 Cable/Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud		690 Other				810 Selective Servers 850 Securities/Con		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len		LABOR	<u> </u>	soc	CIAL SECURITY	Exchange	ninoa kitos	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Dai		710 Fair Labor Sta	andards		HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Cha		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Da	mage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.	Relations		Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410		
196 Franchise	500 Other Tersonal Hijury	Product Liab	•	730 Labor/Mgmt.			SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural A	Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONE PETITION		& Disclosure 740 Railway Labo		865 RSI (405(g))		892 Economic Stabilization Act		
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to V		790 Other Labor I	Litigation	1		894 Energy Alloca		
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. In		FEDI	ERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of In	1 formation	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	:	Security Act			Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	900 Appeal of Fee		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General 535 Death Penals	v				or Defendant)	Determination		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus d		IMMIGRAT	ION		IRS—Third Party	Under Equal to Justice	Access	
_	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights	ition	462 Naturalization A		1	26 USC 7609	950 Constitutional	ity of	
<del>-</del>	Other Other	333 FIBOR COM	ition	463 Habeas Corpu		1		State Statutes		
25	× 440 Other Civil Rights			Alien Detaine						
<u> </u>				Actions						
	" in One Box Only)				sferred fro			Appeal to		
Original 2 Remo	Court Appe	llate Court	Reop		cify)		☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation	☐ 7 Judge fror Magistrate Judgment		
7	Cite the U.S. Civil S				urisdictio	nal stat	tutes unless diversity	y):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 42 U.S.C. sections		3612, e	t seq.						
	Brief description of o		44*		1		istatian afamblis	1:		
VII. REQUESTED IN	Violation of civil r			DEMAND \$	employm	ient in v		only if demanded in	complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	23					JURY DEM	•	•	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	PLEASE REFER "NOTICE OF R		12 CO	ONCERNING REQ	UIREME	NT TO	FILE			
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN	MENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-	2)		X -						
(PLACE AND "X" IN ONE				FRANCISCO/O	HIANI		☐ SAN JOSE			
DATE 2/15/2008		SIGNATURE OF	Clay	EVEY OF RECORD						
			\ n\ <b>U</b> .	114AN E 17						